

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Glasgow
<b>Given Name</b>	Elaine
<b>Person ID</b>	1286449
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Glasgow
<b>Given Name</b>	Elaine
<b>Person ID</b>	1286449
<b>Title</b>	JPA 35: North of Mosley Common
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>As a resident living across REDACTED TEXT from this proposed development. I already know how poor the road network and public transport provision is in this area. Pre-pandemic I travelled to Manchester on the V1 or V2, I left home at 6.15am as this was the only time I found it possible to get a seat on the bus. Travelling back from Manchester in the evening took well over an hour, when I was lucky enough for a bus to stop, a lot longer when they didn't. It was standing room only every night. The bus lane out of Manchester just isn't adequate. The train from Walkden is equally as packed and travelling in by car is a non starter. Even getting into Worsley or Boothstown is 20/30 minutes plus at peak times. The local roads are all single lanes and well over capacity already. The other amenities in the area are poor. The GP's don't have capacity and the schools are over prescribed. Adding more homes, people and cars is not feasible.</p> <p>The loss of green spaces is heartbreaking, it's been a life line for many in the past and particularly during the pandemic.</p> <p>This consultation is entitled "Places for Everyone" which gives the impression that as long as there is a place for everyone, that's fine. People don't just need "places" they need homes that are served with adequate transport links, local services and are a pleasant place to live. Taking away the Green Belt will ensure that both prospective and current residents will have poorly connected, serviced and unhealthy "places" to live. This isn't progress it is a regressive step.</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect</b>	<p>Is it ethical to build on green belt?</p> <p>Do the planners understand that current transport links and services are inadequate?</p> <p>Salford and Wigan have many brownfield sites that if developed would improve areas not worsen them. Developing on greenfield sites is the easy, quick, and cheap solution but not the right solution.</p>

**of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.**

Manchester City Council are investing in developing Brownfield sites and improving areas. This should be the first priority of any council.